

Ground state and excitations of the extended Hubbard model

D. F. Wang

*Institut de Physique Théorique**Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne**PHB-Ecublens, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland*

James T. Liu

*Department of Physics**The Rockefeller University**1230 York Avenue**New York, NY 10021-6399*

We examine the ground state and excitations of the one dimensional extended Hubbard model with long range interaction. The ground state wavefunction and low lying excitations are given explicitly in the form of a Jastrow product of two-body terms. This result motivates an asymptotic Bethe ansatz solution for the model. We present evidence that this solution is in fact exact and spans the complete spectrum of states.

PACS number: 71.30.+h, 05.30.-d, 74.65+n, 75.10.Jm

Low dimensional strongly correlated electron systems are of considerable recent interest as possible models of high- T_c superconductivity. One dimensional versions of such models have proven to be especially interesting as they are often completely integrable and may be solved exactly. A particular case is the one dimensional Hubbard model, which was solved by Lieb and Wu in 1967 [1,2], and which exhibits a hidden $SO(4)$ symmetry [3,4]. Recently, an extended Hubbard model was introduced which is solvable by Bethe ansatz in one dimension [5,6]. In this letter, we discuss the long range version of the one dimensional extended Hubbard model on a uniform lattice.

There has been renewed interest in the integrable electronic models of Calogero-Sutherland type [7] of strong correlations since the independent work of Haldane and Shastry [8,9] on the one dimensional spin chain of $1/r^2$ exchange interaction [10–19]. The system we consider here is the Jastrow-wavefunction-integrable extended Hubbard model defined on a one dimensional closed uniform chain of size L . This model is a generalization of the Haldane-Shastry spin chain and the long range supersymmetric t - J model and is similarly completely integrable. In the following, we will investigate the ground state and excitations of the system in detail.

In the extended Hubbard model, each lattice site may be either unoccupied (hole), occupied by a single electron (up-spin or down-spin) or doubly occupied. The latter case of localized electron pairs are denoted localons. Thus there are four possible states at each site — two bosonic and two fermionic. The Hamiltonian then takes the form

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq L} J_{ij} \Pi_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

where the permutation operator Π_{ij} exchanges the states between sites i and j . Π_{ij} is given explicitly in terms of electron operators by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{ij} = & c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} (1 - n_{i\downarrow} - n_{j\downarrow}) + c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow} (1 - n_{i\downarrow} - n_{j\downarrow}) \\ & + c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} (1 - n_{i\uparrow} - n_{j\uparrow}) + c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} (1 - n_{i\uparrow} - n_{j\uparrow}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (n_i - 1)(n_j - 1) + c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} c_{j\uparrow} + c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}(n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})(n_{j\uparrow} - n_{j\downarrow}) \\
& -c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} - c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow} \\
& +(n_{i\uparrow} - 1/2)(n_{i\downarrow} - 1/2) + (n_{j\uparrow} - 1/2)(n_{j\downarrow} - 1/2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The operators $c_{i\sigma}$ and $c_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ are electron annihilation and creation operators at site i with spin $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$. The electron number operators are given by $n_{i\uparrow} = c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow}$, $n_{i\downarrow} = c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow}$ and $n_i = n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$.

Because of the fermionic nature of the electrons, Π_{ij} picks up an appropriate sign when exchanging fermions, and hence acts as a graded permutation operator. This leads to an $SU(2|2)$ supersymmetry of the system [5]. In fact there is a natural generalization of this model to a chain where there are m bosonic and n fermionic species at each site, leading to an $SU(m|n)$ supersymmetry. In this language, the Haldane-Shastry spin chain is of type $SU(0|2)$ and the t - J model is of type $SU(1|2)$. While the following results are easily generalized to the $SU(m|n)$ case, we are solely concerned with the $SU(2|2)$ extended Hubbard model in this letter.

In addition to the grading of the permutation operator, the coupling parameter J_{ij} determines the nature of this model. The extended Hubbard model studied by Eßler, Korepin and Schoutens [5] corresponds to nearest neighbor interactions, $J_{ij} = \delta_{1,|i-j|}$. We are interested instead in the long range version of this model where $J_{ij} = 1/d^2(i-j)$, and $d(n) = \frac{L}{\pi} \sin(\pi n/L)$.

Since the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (1) acts only as permutations, the total numbers of each species are all conserved quantities. We denote the number of localons, unpaired up-spin electrons, unpaired down-spin electrons and holes by

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{A} &= \sum_{i=1}^L n_{i\downarrow} n_{i\uparrow} \\
\bar{M}_\uparrow &= \sum_{i=1}^L n_{i\uparrow} - \bar{A} \\
\bar{M}_\downarrow &= \sum_{i=1}^L n_{i\downarrow} - \bar{A}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{Q} = L - \bar{M}_\uparrow - \bar{M}_\downarrow - \bar{A}. \quad (3)$$

The total number of unpaired electrons may then be written as $\bar{M} = \bar{M}_\uparrow + \bar{M}_\downarrow$. In the following, we work in the Hilbert space of fixed $\bar{A}$, $\bar{M}_\uparrow$, $\bar{M}_\downarrow$, and $\bar{Q}$. The system reduces to the $SU(1|2)$ long range t - J model when $\bar{A} = 0$ and the $SU(0|2)$ Haldane-Shastry spin chain in the limiting case when $\bar{Q} = 0$ in addition to $\bar{A} = 0$.

In order to construct the ground state and excitations, we write the states of the system in terms of excitations from the fully polarized up-spin state, $|F\rangle = \prod_{i=1}^L c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle$. Thus a general state vector is given by

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{x,y,z} \phi(x,y,z) \prod_{i=1}^{\bar{A}} c_{x_i\downarrow}^\dagger \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{M}_\downarrow} c_{y_\alpha\downarrow}^\dagger c_{y_\alpha\uparrow} \prod_{l=1}^{\bar{Q}} c_{z_l\uparrow} |F\rangle. \quad (4)$$

The amplitude ϕ is antisymmetric in the positions of the localons, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\bar{A}})$, symmetric in the positions of the unpaired down-spins, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{\bar{M}_\downarrow})$, and antisymmetric in the positions of the holes, $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{\bar{Q}})$. When the state vector is written in the above manner, the singly-occupied up-spin states correspond to the sites unoccupied by the localons, holes, and singly-occupied down-spin electrons. The fact that all four species completely fill the lattice has proven useful in allowing alternate equivalent representations in terms of excitations from uniform backgrounds of any of the three other species [14].

Assuming that $S_z = (\bar{M}_\uparrow - \bar{M}_\downarrow)/2 \geq 0$, we propose the following Jastrow wavefunction

$$\begin{aligned} \phi = & e^{\frac{2i\pi}{L}[J_h(\sum_i x_i + \sum_l z_l) + J_s \sum_\alpha y_\alpha]} \times \prod_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \bar{M}_\downarrow} d^2(y_\alpha - y_\beta) \times \\ & \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{M}_\downarrow} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\bar{A}} d(y_\alpha - x_i) \cdot \prod_{l=1}^{\bar{Q}} d(y_\alpha - z_l) \right) \times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \bar{A}} d(x_i - x_j) \times \\ & \times \prod_{1 \leq l < n \leq \bar{Q}} d(z_l - z_n) \times \prod_{l,i} d(x_i - z_l), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the quantum numbers J_h and J_s are either integers or half-integers as appropriate so that the wavefunction is single-valued under any of the individual translation operations $x_i \rightarrow x_i + L$, $y_\alpha \rightarrow y_\alpha + L$ or $z_l \rightarrow z_l + L$. The Jastrow wavefunction is constructed to satisfy the appropriate symmetries upon interchange of any pair of sites.

Inspection of the wavefunction indicates that the localons and the holes play the same role. This may be understood from the $SU(2)$ symmetry relating the two bosonic states. Furthermore, Sutherland has shown that the ground state energy for a general $SU(m|n)$ permutation model coincides with that of the equivalent $SU(1|n)$ model [20]. We therefore can take identical localon and hole momenta, J_h , and can then compute the effects of the Hamiltonian acting on the wavefunction as if one were dealing with the $SU(1|2)$ supersymmetric t - J model of $1/r^2$ hopping and exchange. The number of “holes” Q in the effective t - J model is given by the total number of bosons, $Q = \bar{Q} + \bar{A}$.

Using this analogy to the t - J model, we have computed the action of the Hamiltonian H on the Jastrow wavefunction defined by Eq. (5). We find that the wavefunction is in fact an exact eigenstate of the system provided the quantum numbers J_s and J_h satisfy the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} |J_h - L/2| &\leq (\bar{M}_\uparrow + 1)/2 \\ |J_s - L/2| &\leq 1 + S_z/2 \\ |J_s - J_h| &\leq (\bar{M}_\downarrow + 1)/2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Under these conditions, the energy of this state, as a function of the quantum numbers J_h and J_s , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E/(\pi^2/L^2) &= \frac{1}{6}L(L^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2}Q(\bar{M}_\downarrow + Q)(2\bar{M}_\downarrow - Q) \\ &\quad + \bar{M}_\downarrow \left[\frac{2}{3}(\bar{M}_\downarrow^2 - 1) - 2J_s(L - J_s) \right] \\ &\quad + Q \left[\frac{2}{3}(Q^2 - 1) - 2J_h(L - J_h) \right] \\ &\quad + 2Q(J_s - J_h)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

We recall that the above eigenenergies have been calculated in a sector of fixed $\bar{M}_\uparrow$, $\bar{M}_\downarrow$, $\bar{Q}$, and $\bar{A}$. The ground state of the Hamiltonian in the Hilbert space of fixed $\bar{Q}$ and $\bar{A}$ is then given by the Jastrow wavefunction in the $S_z = 0$ or $1/2$ sector with J_s and J_h both as close to $L/2$ as possible. Since the ground state energy is identical among all the $\bar{Q} + \bar{A} + 1$

states with total boson number Q , the ground state falls in either the $(\mathbf{1}, \bar{Q} + \bar{A} + 1)$ or the $(\mathbf{2}, \bar{Q} + \bar{A} + 1)$ representation of $SU(2) \times SU(2) \subset SU(2|2)$ for $S_z = 0$ or $S_z = 1/2$ respectively. As a consequence, the lowest energy state in the complete Hilbert space is given by $Q = L$ and is $L + 1$ fold degenerate. The corresponding state vectors are given by $|G\rangle = \sum_{\{x\}} \prod_{i=1}^{\bar{A}} c_{x_i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{x_i\downarrow}^\dagger |0\rangle$, where $\bar{A} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$. Any of these ground states with $\bar{A} > 0$ is superconducting and exhibits off-diagonal long range order. The state vectors are very similar to the η -pairing eigenstates for the conventional Hubbard model constructed by Yang [4], except that the phase of each localon is 1.

The Jastrow wavefunctions corresponding to other values of J_s and J_h will be excited states of the system. One may compute the corresponding spin and charge excitation energies relative to the ground state. In particular, for the Jastrow wavefunctions written in this way, various correlation functions, such as the correlation functions between the localons, the holes, and the singly-occupied down spins, can be computed in a compact form following the recent work of Forrester [21].

For the extended Hubbard model, the above wavefunctions do not span the complete energy spectrum of the system. This comes as no surprise since the Jastrow product only describes uniform excitations of the various particles. Nevertheless, since we have shown the ground state of this model to be a product of two-body functions, we are led to a solution in the form of an asymptotic Bethe ansatz (ABA) [7,13]. With two bosonic and two fermionic species to consider, the nesting of the Bethe ansatz may be performed in various manners [2,22,20,6]. We choose the BBFF grading and start from the fully polarized background $|F\rangle$. Introducing three sets of pseudomomenta, $\{p_\alpha\}$, $\{q_l^{(1)}\}$ and $\{q_i^{(2)}\}$, the ABA equations are of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
p_\alpha L &= 2\pi J_\alpha + \pi \sum_{\beta=1}^{\bar{M}_1 + \bar{Q} + \bar{A}} \text{sgn}(p_\alpha - p_\beta) - \pi \sum_{l=1}^{\bar{Q} + \bar{A}} \text{sgn}(p_\alpha - q_l^{(1)}) \\
2\pi I_l^{(1)} &= \pi \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{M}_1 + \bar{Q} + \bar{A}} \text{sgn}(q_l^{(1)} - p_\alpha) - \pi \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{A}} \text{sgn}(q_l^{(1)} - q_i^{(2)}) \\
2\pi I_i^{(2)} &= \pi \sum_{l=1}^{\bar{Q} + \bar{A}} \text{sgn}(q_i^{(2)} - q_l^{(1)}) - \pi \sum_{j=1}^{\bar{A}} \text{sgn}(q_i^{(2)} - q_j^{(2)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The resulting energy and momentum depend only on the $\{p_\alpha\}$ and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{M}_\downarrow + \bar{Q} + \bar{A}} (p_\alpha^2 - \pi^2), \\ P &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\bar{M}_\downarrow + \bar{Q} + \bar{A}} (p_\alpha - \pi), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the reference energy of the system is $\frac{\pi}{6}L(1 - \frac{1}{L^2})$, and the reference momentum of the system is $(L - 1)\pi$.

The (individually non-overlapping) quantum numbers J_α , $I_l^{(1)}$ and $I_i^{(2)}$ are either integral or half-integral and characterize the ABA state. They are restricted to lie in the range

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\alpha| &\leq (L - \bar{M}_\downarrow - 1)/2 \\ |I_l^{(1)}| &\leq (\bar{M}_\downarrow + \bar{Q} - 2)/2 \\ |I_i^{(2)}| &\leq (\bar{Q} - 1)/2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

As a result, in this BBFF picture, the ABA equations only hold in the sectors of the Hilbert space satisfying $\bar{M}_\uparrow \geq \bar{M}_\downarrow \geq \bar{A} + 1$ and $\bar{Q} \geq \bar{A}$. Empirical observations on small systems ($L \leq 10$) indicate that the ABA generates highest-weight states of the $SU(2|2)$ superalgebra. This fact may be used to construct entire states of the extended Hubbard model. However, simple counting rules based on spinon degrees of freedom (zeros in J_α) [11,14] no longer generate the entire states when additional species are present. In order to account for the complete states, it is important to modify the ABA equations by taking the “squeezed”-string picture into account [23]. Since the resulting Ha-Haldane equations are similar to the *exact* Bethe ansatz solution of the nearest neighbor model [6], the counting of states proceeds in a similar manner [24,25].

In both the Haldane-Shastry model and the supersymmetric t - J model, the ABA is known to give exact results, even in the non-asymptotic limit [7,11,14]. While it would be a more complicated procedure to prove the same for Eqs. (8), the integrability of this model as well as its similarities to the t - J model should enable such a proof.

In summary, we have provided the ground state and uniform excitations of the long range extended Hubbard model. These wavefunctions are in the form of a Jastrow product, for

which various correlators are already available. This system of strongly correlated electrons is completely integrable with an infinite set of mutually commuting constants of motion. This fact enables us to determine the full energy spectrum in terms of an asymptotic Bethe ansatz and its Ha-Haldane modification. As a result, it should be possible to analytically study the thermodynamics and elementary excitations of this model. Since we only needed to know the general properties of the graded permutation operator Π_{ij} , our results are easily generalized to the arbitrary long range $SU(m|n)$ model.

This work was supported in part by the Swiss National Science Foundation and by the U. S. Department of Energy under grant no. DOE-91ER40651-TASKB.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. H. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1445 (1968).
- [2] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1312 (1967).
- [3] C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2144 (1989).
- [4] C. N. Yang and S. C. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B 4**, 759 (1990).
- [5] F. H. L. Eßler, V. E. Korepin, and K. Schoutens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2960 (1992).
- [6] F. H. L. Eßler, V. E. Korepin, and K. Schoutens, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B 8**, 3205 (1994).
- [7] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 419 (1971); B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. **A 5**, 1372 (1972); **A 4**, 2019 (1971); J. Math. Phys. **12**, 251 (1971).
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 635 (1988).
- [9] B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 639 (1988).
- [10] Y. Kuramoto and H. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1338 (1991).
- [11] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 1529 (1991).
- [12] B. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 164 (1992).
- [13] N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. **B 45**, 7525 (1992); **B 46**, 1005 (1992).
- [14] D. F. Wang, J. T. Liu, and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. **B 46**, 6639 (1992).
- [15] F. Gebhard and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 244 (1992).
- [16] M. Fowler and J. A. Minahan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2325 (1993).
- [17] A. P. Polychronakos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2329 (1993).
- [18] D. F. Wang and C. Gruber, Phys. Rev. **B 49**, 15712 (1994).
- [19] C. Gruber and D. F. Wang, Phys. Rev. **B 50**, 3103 (1994).

- [20] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. **B 12**, 3795 (1975).
- [21] P. J. Forrester, Phys. Lett. **A 196**, 353 (1995).
- [22] B. Sutherland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 98 (1968).
- [23] Z. N. C. Ha and F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. **B 47**, 12459 (1993).
- [24] F. H. L. Eßler, V. E. Korepin, and K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. **B 384**, 431 (1992).
- [25] K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. **B 413**, 675 (1994).